arXiv:1407.0668v1 [math.RT] 2 Jul 2014

KLR ALGEBRAS AND THE BRANCHING RULE II: THE CATEGORICAL GELFAND-TSETLIN BASIS FOR THE CLASSICAL LIE ALGEBRAS

PEDRO VAZ

ABSTRACT. We construct functors categorifying the branching rules for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ of type B_n C_n, and D_n for the embeddings $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} \supset \mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}$, $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n} \supset \mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}$, and $\mathfrak{so}_{2n} \supset \mathfrak{so}_{2n-2}$. We give the corresponding categorical Gelfand-Tsetlin basis.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this sequel to [8] we continue the program of studying the categorical branching rules and the correponding categorical Gelfand-Tsetlin basis for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ a classical Lie algebra. Recall that a *branching rule* for (quantum) Kac-Moody algebras is a procedure to write irreducibles of $\mathfrak{g}$ as direct sums of irreducibles of $\mathfrak{g}'$ whenever we have an embedding $\mathfrak{g}' \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

Let Γ_{n-1} be a Dynkin diagram of classical type with $n-1$ nodes. There is only one way of adding a node and the corresponding edge to Γ_{n-1} to obtain a new Dynkin diagram Γ_n *of the same type* if Γ_{n-1} is of type B_n, C_n or D_n, and two equivalent ways if it is of type A_n. This defines embeddings of corresponding root systems and consequently of the respective quantum Kac-Moody algebras. In this paper we only consider the branching rule for this kind of embedding.

We denote by $\mathfrak{g}_n$ the quantum Kac-Moody algebra of Γ_n. Any such $\mathfrak{g}_n$ can be constructed from the empty Dynkin diagram by adjusting nodes one at a time in such a way that respects its type. Repeated application of the branching rule one decompose an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_n$-module M^λ as a direct sum of 1-dimensional vector spaces. The vector space spanned by the collections of all paths in the weight space in going from M^λ to such a collection of 1-dimensional vector spaces gives a distinguished basis of M^λ called the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis.

The purpose of the program described in [7] (see also [9]) is to study categorical versions of the above and its applications to topology. To this end we make use of the program of categorification of quantum quantum groups developed by Khovanov, Lauda and Rouquier in [2, 5].

In [8] the author obtained the categorical branching rule and the corresponding categorical Gelfand-Tsetlin basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} \supset \mathfrak{sl}_n$. In a nutshel the categorical branching rule takes the form of a certain functor between categories of (graded, finite dimensional) modules over certain cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras commuting with the categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-action.

The reader should be aware that this paper relies heavily in its predecessor [8] and we do assume acquaintance with it. Most of the arguments given in [8] for type A_n can be transposed without difficulty to the types treated here. To avoid this paper from becoming oversized we often just state the result and refer to the reasoning followed in [8].

The outline of the paper is the following. In Section 2 we recall some aspects of the quantum algebras we deal with together with its representations, branching rules and Gelfand-Tsetlin

basis. In Section 3 we describe the Khovanonv-Lauda-Rouquier algebras and state some of its properties that will be used in this sequel. In Section 4 we give the categorical branching rule by constructing appropriate surjections between KLR algebras. In Section 5 we use the results of the previous section to define a collection of modules and prove their image in the Grothendieck group coincides with the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis.

2. Quantum groups, the branching rule and the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra with Dynkin diagram Γ. We denote the weight lattice by $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ and the root lattice by $X(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in\Gamma}$ be the simple roots and $\{\alpha_1^\vee\}_{i\in\Gamma}$ the simple coroots. For $\bar\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ we define $\bar\lambda_i = \alpha_i^\vee(\bar\lambda)$. Denote the set of dominant integral weights by

$$\Lambda_+(\mathfrak{g}) = \big\{\bar\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{g}) | \alpha_i^\vee(\bar\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0} \text{ for all } i \in \Gamma\big\}.$$

Denote by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is the usual (symmetrized) inner product on $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ and write $q_i = q^{d_i}$ where $d_i = \frac{1}{2}\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_i\rangle$. Let also $[a]_i = q_i^{a-1} + q_i^{a-3} + \cdots + q_i^{-a+1}$. Moreover, let $c_{ij} = \alpha_i^\vee(\alpha_j)$ be the entries of the cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The *quantum universal enveloping linear algebra* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is the associative unital $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra generated by the Chevalley generators F_i, E_i and K_η, for $i \in \Gamma$ and $\eta \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$, subject to the relations

$$K_0 = 1, \qquad K_\eta K_{\eta'} = K_{\eta+\eta'}, \ \text{ for all } \eta,\eta' \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{g}),$$
$$K_\eta F_i = q^{-\alpha_i^\vee(\eta)} F_i K_\eta, \qquad K_\eta E_i = q^{\alpha_i^\vee(\eta)} E_i K_\eta, \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{g}),$$
$$E_iF_j - F_jE_i = \delta_{i,j}\frac{K_{d_i\alpha_i} - K_{-d_i\alpha_i}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}.$$

Moreover, for all $i \neq j$,

$$\sum_{a+b=-c_{ij}+1} (-1)^a F_i^{(a)} F_j F_i^{(b)} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{a+b=-c_{ij}+1} (-1)^a E_i^{(a)} E_j E_i^{(b)} = 0$$

where $E_i^{(a)} = \frac{E_i^a}{[a]_i!}$.

For $\underline{i} = (i_1,\ldots,i_k)$ we define $F_{\underline{i}} = F_{i_1}\cdots F_{i_k}$ and $E_{\underline{i}} = E_{i_1}\cdots E_{i_k}$.

The *lower half* $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ quantum algebra is the subalgebra generated by the Fs (analogously for the *upper half* $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$).

Recall that a subspace $V_{\bar\mu}$ of a finite dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V is called a *weight space* of weight $\mu(\lambda)$ if

$$K_\mu v = q^{\mu(\lambda)} v$$

for all $v \in V_{\bar\mu}$ and that V is called a *weight module* if

$$V = \bigoplus_{\bar\mu\in\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})} V_{\bar\mu}.$$

A weight module V is called a *highest weight module with highest weight* $\bar{\lambda}$ if there exists a nonzero weight vector vector $v_{\bar{\lambda}} \in V_{\bar{\lambda}}$ such that $E_i v_{\bar{\lambda}} = 0$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Recall that for each $\bar{\lambda} \in \Lambda_+(\mathfrak{g})$ there exists a unique irreducible highest weight module with highest weight $\bar{\lambda}$.

Let ϕ be the q-antilinear involution on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$\phi(K_\eta) = K_{-\eta} \quad \phi(F_i) = q_i^{-1} K_{d_i\alpha_i} E_i \quad \phi(E_i) = q_i^{-1} K_{-d_i\alpha_i} F_i.$$

The *q-Shapovalov form* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is the unique non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on the highest weight module $V(\bar{\lambda})$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle v_{\bar{\lambda}}, v_{\bar{\lambda}} \rangle = 1,\\
&\langle uv, v' \rangle = \langle v, \phi(u)v' \rangle \quad \text{for all } u \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } v, v' \in V(\lambda),\\
&f\langle v, v' \rangle = \langle \bar{f}v, v' \rangle = \langle v, fv' \rangle \quad \text{for any } f \in \mathbb{Q}(q) \text{ and } v, v' \in V(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

2.1. **Branching rules and the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis.** Let $\mathfrak{g}_m$ be a Lie algebra with $|\Gamma| = m$. We denote by $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}_m}$ the irreducible finite dimensional representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_m)$ of highest weight λ. For the embedding $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_n$ corresponding to adding one vertex to the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ the branching rule from [4] says that

$$\left(V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}_n}\right)^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}} \cong \bigoplus_\mu c(\mu) V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}} \tag{1}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$-modules, where the multiplicity $c(\mu)$ of $V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$ as a direct summand of $V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_n}$ is well-determined for all $\mathfrak{g}$ of classical type (see [4]). To make easier dealing with the multiplicities we first interpret $c(\mu)$ as a the cardinality of a certain set $C(\mu)$ and then define $\tau(\lambda) = \tau_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ as the set of ordered pairs (ν, μ) such that μ occurs as a wighest weight in the decomposition (1) and $\nu \in C(\mu)$. We can then re-write formula (1) as

$$\left(V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}_n}\right)^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}} \cong \bigoplus_{(\nu,\mu)\,\in\,\tau(\lambda)} V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}. \tag{2}$$

We can then apply the branching rule recursively until we end up with a direct sum of 1-dimensional spaces corresponding to a final decomposition of each irreducible of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ into 1-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector spaces.

Let $\mu^{(1)}$ be a $\mathfrak{g}_n$-highest weight. We say a sequence $(\mu^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}, \nu^{(2)}, \mu^{(3)}, \ldots, \xi^{(n)})$, where $\xi^{(n)} = \nu^{(n)}$ for $\mathfrak{g}_n$ of type B_n or C_n or $\xi^{(n)} = \mu^{(n)}$ for $\mathfrak{g}_n$ of type D_n, is a *Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern for* $\mu^{(1)}$ if for each j we have $(\nu^{(j)}, \mu^{(j+1)}) \in \tau(\mu^{(j)})$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ the set of all the Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns for a $\mathfrak{g}_n$-highest weight λ.

There is a 1:1 correspondence between $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ and the set of all the 1-dimensional spaces that we obtain using recursive application of the branching rules. Let $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear space spanned by $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$. We write $|\, s \,\rangle$ for an Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern s seen as an element of $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$. It turns out that $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ is isomorphic to $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}_n}$ not only as vector spaces by as $\mathfrak{g}_n$-modules.

The $\mathfrak{g}_n$-action on $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ can be obtained through a procedure which, in some sense, is the reverse of the direct sum decomposition (2) using the branching rule. While the generators $\{E_i, F_i\}_{i\in\{2,\ldots,n\}}$ preserve the weight spaces $V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$ on the right-hand side of (2), the generators E_1 and F_1 move between the different $V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$ (two copies of $V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$ for the same μ and different ν

count as distinct): Let ϕ be the isomorphism $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}_n} \to \oplus_{(\nu,\mu)\in\tau(\lambda)} V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$ in (2). Then the $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$-action on $\oplus_{\mu\in\tau(\lambda)} V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$ extends to an $\mathfrak{g}_n$-action if we define

$$E_1 v = \phi E_1 \phi^{-1} v \quad \text{and} \quad F_1 v = \phi F_1 \phi^{-1} v$$

for $v \in \oplus_{(\nu,\mu)\in\tau(\lambda)} V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$. This is a consequence of $\phi E_i \phi^{-1} v = E_i v$ and $\phi F_i \phi^{-1} v = F_i v$ for all $v \in \oplus_{(\nu,\mu)\in\tau(\lambda)} V_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$. We can continue and intertwine this procedure with the branching rule procedure until we get the desired 1-dimensional spaces and regard the $\mathfrak{g}_n$-action on them as an action on $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$. The basis of $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ given by the Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns (i.e. elements of $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$) is called the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis for $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}_n}$. The explicit form of action of the generators of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_n$ on the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis can be found for example in [4] or [11].

2.2. **Representations of quantum $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$.** Let $\epsilon_i = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, with 1 being on the i-th coordinate, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We also denote usual inner product on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ by $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = \delta_{i,j}$.

Recall that the quantum enveloping algebra (QEA) of type B_n corresponds to the Dynkin diagram



The simple root system is

$$\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2,\ \ldots,\ \alpha_{n-1} = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n,\ \alpha_n = \varepsilon_n.$$

Irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ correspond to n-tuples $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ which are all non-negative integers (for vector representations) or all non-negative half-integers (for spin representations) and they satisfy

$$\lambda_1 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n-1} \geqslant \lambda_n \geqslant 0.$$

The correspondence with the set of non-negative integers identifying λ (Dynkin labels) is

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\lambda}_j = \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} & \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, n-1, \\ \overline{\lambda}_n = 2\lambda_n. \end{cases}$$

2.2.1. *Branching rules.* Let Γ_n and Γ_{n-1} be the Dynkin diagrams associated to the types B_n and B_{n-1} with the vertices of Γ_n labeled from 1 to n and the vertices from Γ_{n-1} labeled from 2 to n. Consider the inclusion $\Gamma_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_n$ that adds a vertex labeled 1 at the beginning of Γ_{n-1} and the corresponding edge, *i.e.*

(3)

We have isomorphisms of quantum $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}$-representations,

$$\left(V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}}\right)^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}} \cong \bigoplus_\mu c(\mu) V_\mu^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}}, \tag{4}$$

where $\mu = (\mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$ is in $\Lambda_+^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}}$ and the multiplicity $c(\mu)$ is the number of $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ (all simultaneously integers or half-integers together with the λ_is) satisfying

$$\lambda_1 \geqslant \nu_1 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \nu_{n-1} \geqslant \lambda_n \geqslant \nu_n \geqslant -\lambda_n$$
$$\nu_1 \geqslant \mu_2 \geqslant \nu_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \nu_{n-1} \geqslant \mu_n \geqslant \nu_n \geqslant -\mu_n$$

This can be depicted schematically as the *Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern* (GT-pattern) of type B_n

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \lambda_1 & & \lambda_2 & & \lambda_3 & & \cdots & & \lambda_n & & -\lambda_n \\ & \nu_1 & & \nu_2 & & \cdots & & & & \nu_n & \\ & & \mu_2 & & \mu_3 & & \cdots & & \mu_n & & -\mu_n \end{array} \right\rangle, \tag{5}$$

where, for each triple of symbols a_{i-1}, a_i and a_{i+1} placed respectively in column $i-1$, i and $i+1$, we have the inbetweenness condition

$$a_{i-1} \geqslant a_i \geqslant a_{i+1}. \tag{6}$$

The set $\tau_{B_n}(\lambda)$ denotes the set of all such (ν, μ).

Notice that ν_n can be negative and that ν satisfies

$$\nu_1 \geqslant \nu_2 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant \nu_{n-1} \geqslant |\nu_n|.$$

Let $\xi_{-i} \colon \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\xi_{+i} \colon \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ be the lattice maps defined by

$$\xi_{-i}(\lambda) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i - 1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$
$$\xi_{+i}(\lambda) = (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{i+1} + 1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

where $-\lambda_n \leqslant \big(\xi_{-n}(\lambda)\big)_n \leqslant \lambda_n$ (it can be negative) and

$$\xi_{-\varnothing}(\lambda) = \lambda, \qquad \xi_{+\varnothing}(\lambda) = (\lambda_2, \dots, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

For nonempty sequences $\underline{t}^\ell = (t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$ and $\underline{i}^m = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ we write

$$\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell} = \xi_{-t_\ell} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{-t_2} \circ \xi_{-t_1}, \qquad \xi_{+\underline{i}^m} = \xi_{+i_m} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{+i_2} \circ \xi_{+i_1}$$

and

$$\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell, +\underline{i}^m} = \xi_{+\underline{i}^m} \circ \xi_{-\underline{t}_\ell}.$$

Definition 2.1. The map $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell, +\underline{i}^m}$ is λ-*admissible* if $t_1 \leqslant t_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant t_\ell$ and $i_m \leqslant i_{m-1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_1$ and if $\big(\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda), \xi^+_{-\underline{t}^\ell, +\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)\big)$ is in $\tau_{B_n}(\lambda)$. For fixed $k = m + \ell$ we denote by $\mathcal{D}^k_{B_n,\lambda}$ the set of all such maps.

The set $\tau_{B_n}(\lambda)$ can be seen as the set

$$\mathcal{D}_{B_n,\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathcal{D}^k_{B_n,\lambda}.$$

Hence, we can write $\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}$ for the corresponding $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell, +\underline{i}^m}$ without ambiguity.

2.2.2. *The Gelfand-Tsetlin basis.* The complete Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern for $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}}$ is

$$|\, \lambda^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \nu^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(n)}, \nu^{(n)} \,\rangle$$

where

$$\lambda^{(i)} = \left\{ (\lambda_i^{(i)}, \dots, \lambda_n^{(i)}) \mid \lambda_i^{(i)} \geqslant \lambda_{i+1}^{(i)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n-1}^{(i)} \geqslant \lambda_n^{(i)} \geqslant 0 \right\}$$

$$\nu^{(i)} = \left\{ (\nu_i^{(i)}, \dots, \nu_n^{(i)}) \mid \nu_i^{(i)} \geqslant \nu_{i+1}^{(i)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \nu_{n-1}^{(i)} \geqslant |\nu_n^{(i)}| \, \right\}$$

and where each triple $(\lambda^{(i)}, \nu^{(i)}, \lambda^{(i+1)})$ satisfies the inbetweenness condition (6).

Schematically we depict a complete GT-pattern as

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\lambda_1^{(1)} & & \lambda_2^{(1)} & & \lambda_3^{(1)} & & & & \lambda_n^{(1)} & & -\lambda_n^{(1)} \\
& \nu_1^{(1)} & & \nu_2^{(1)} & & \cdots & & & \nu_n^{(1)} & & \\
& & \lambda_2^{(2)} & & \lambda_3^{(2)} & & & & \lambda_n^{(2)} & & -\lambda_n^{(2)} \\
& & & \nu_2^{(2)} & & \cdots & & & \nu_n^{(2)} & & \\
& & & & & & & & \vdots & & \\
& & & & & & & & \lambda_n^{(n)} & & -\lambda_n^{(n)} \\
& & & & & & & & & \nu_n^{(n)} &
\end{array}\right\rangle,$$

with entries elements satisfying the inbetweenness relation (6).

Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ the set of all complete Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns for $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}}$ and by $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space spanned by $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$. There is an action of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ on $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ that makes it isomorphic to $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}}$ as $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$-modules. Explicit formulas for the $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$-action can be found for example in [4].

2.3. **Representations of quantum $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$.** Type D_n is very similar to type B_n. The QEA of type D_n corresponds to the Dynkin diagram



and has simple root system given by

$$\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ \dots, \ \alpha_{n-1} = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n, \ \alpha_n = \varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n.$$

Irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ correspond to n-tuples $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ which are all integers (for vector representations) or all half-integers (for spin representations) and they satisfy

$$\lambda_1 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n-1} \geqslant |\lambda_n|.$$

The correspondence with the set Dynkin labels is

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\lambda}_j = \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \bar{\lambda}_n = \lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n. \end{cases}$$

2.3.1. *Branching rules.* As in the previous cases we let Γ_n and Γ_{n-1} be the Dynkin diagrams associated to types D_n and D_{n-1} with the vertices of Γ_n labeled from 1 to n and the vertices from Γ_{n-1} labeled from 2 to n. Consider the inclusion $\Gamma_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_n$ that adds a vertex labeled 1 at the beginning of Γ_{n-1} and the corresponding edge, *i.e.*

(7)



As a quantum $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-2}$-representation we have an isomorphism,

$$\left(V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n}}\right)^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n-2}} \cong \bigoplus_\mu c(\mu) V_\mu^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n-2}}, \tag{8}$$

where $\mu = (\mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$ is in $\Lambda_+^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}}$ and the multiplicity $c(\mu)$ is the number of $\nu = (\nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ (all simultaneously integers or half-integers together with the λ_is) satisfying

$$\lambda_1 \geqslant \nu_2 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n-1} \geqslant \nu_n \geqslant |\lambda_n|$$
$$\nu_2 \geqslant \mu_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \mu_{n-1} \geqslant \nu_n \geqslant |\mu_n|$$

This can be depicted schematically as the *Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern* of type D_n

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \lambda_1 & & \lambda_2 & & \lambda_3 & & \cdots & & & & \lambda_n \\ & \nu_2 & & \nu_3 & & \cdots & & \cdots & \nu_n & & \\ & & \mu_2 & & \mu_3 & & \cdots & & & & \mu_n \end{array} \right\rangle, \tag{9}$$

where, for each triple of symbols a_{i-1}, a_i and a_{i+1} placed respectively in column $i-1$, i and $i+1$, we have the inbetweenness condition

$$a_{i-1} \geqslant a_i \geqslant |a_{i+1}|. \tag{10}$$

The set $\tau_{D_n}(\lambda)$ denotes the set of all such (ν, μ).

Define the lattice maps $\xi_{+i}\colon \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ and $\xi_{-i}\colon \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ by

$$\xi_{+i}(\lambda) = (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{i+1} + 1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$
$$\xi_{-i}(\nu) = (\nu_2, \dots, \nu_i - 1, \dots, \nu_n)$$

and

$$\xi_{-\varnothing}(\nu) = \nu, \qquad \xi_{+\varnothing}(\lambda) = (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

For nonempty sequences $\underline{t}^\ell = (t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$ and $\underline{i}^m = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ we write

$$\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell} = \xi_{-t_\ell} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{-t_2} \circ \xi_{-t_1}, \qquad \xi_{+\underline{i}^m} = \xi_{+i_m} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{+i_2} \circ \xi_{+i_1}$$

and

$$\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell, +\underline{i}^m} = \xi_{+\underline{i}^m} \circ \xi_{-\underline{t}_\ell}.$$

We use the same notational principle for the idempotents $p_{-\underline{t}^\ell, +\underline{i}^m}$.

Definition 2.2. The map $\xi_{+\underline{i}^m, -\underline{t}^\ell}$ is λ-*admissible* if $i_1 \leqslant i_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_m$ and $t_\ell \leqslant t_{\ell-1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant t_1$ and if $\left(\xi_{+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda), \xi_{+\underline{i}^m, -\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)\right)$ is in $\tau_{D_n}(\lambda)$. For fixed $k = m + \ell$ we denote by $\mathcal{D}^k_{D_n,\lambda}$ the set of all such maps.

The set $\tau_{D_n}(\lambda)$ can be seen as

$$\mathcal{D}_{D_n} = \bigsqcup_{k\geqslant 0} \mathcal{D}^k_{D_n,\lambda}.$$

Hence, we can write $\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}$ for the corresponding $\xi_{+^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ Without ambiguity.

2.3.2. *The Gelfand-Tsetlin basis.* The complete Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern for the QEA of type D_n is

$$|\, \lambda^{(1)}, \nu^{(2)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \nu^{(3)}, \dots, \nu^{(n)}, \lambda^{(n)} \,\rangle$$

where

$$\lambda^{(i)} = \left\{ (\lambda^{(i)}_i, \dots, \lambda^{(i)}_n) \mid \lambda^{(i)}_i \geqslant \lambda^{(i)}_{i+1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda^{(i)}_{n-1} \geqslant |\lambda^{(i)}_n| \right\}$$

$$\nu^{(i)} = \left\{ (\nu^{(i)}_i, \dots, \nu^{(i)}_n) \mid \nu^{(i)}_i \geqslant \nu^{(i)}_{i+1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \nu^{(n)}_{n-1} \geqslant \nu^{(i)}_n \geqslant 0 \right\}$$

and where each triple $(\lambda^{(i)}, \nu^{(i)}, \lambda^{(i+1)})$ satisfies the inbetweenness condition (10).

Schematically we depict a complete GT-pattern as

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\lambda^{(1)}_1 & & \lambda^{(1)}_2 & & \lambda^{(1)}_3 & & & & \lambda^{(1)}_n & & \\
& \nu^{(2)}_2 & & \nu^{(2)}_3 & & \cdots & & \nu^{(2)}_n & & & \\
& & \lambda^{(2)}_2 & & \lambda^{(2)}_3 & & & & \lambda^{(2)}_n & & \\
& & & \nu^{(3)}_3 & & \cdots & & \nu^{(3)}_n & & & \\
& & & & & & & & \vdots & & \\
& & & & & & & \nu^{(n)}_n & & & \\
& & & & & & & & \lambda^{(n)}_n & &
\end{array}\right\rangle,$$

with entries satisfying the inbetweeness relation (10).

Denote $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ the set of all complete Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns for $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n}}$ and by $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space spanned by $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$. There is an action of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ on $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ such that $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ and $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n}}$ are isomorphic as $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$-modules. Explicit formulas for the $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$-action can be found in [4].

2.4. **Representations of quantum $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$.** The QEA of type C_n corresponds to the Dynkin diagram



and has simple root system given by

$$\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2,\ \dots,\ \alpha_{n-1} = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n,\ \alpha_n = 2\varepsilon_n.$$

Irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ correspond to n-tuples $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ of integers satisfying

$$\lambda_1 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n-1} \geqslant \lambda_n \geqslant 0,$$

which correspond with the Dynkin labels as follows

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\lambda}_j = \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \overline{\lambda}_n = \lambda_n. \end{cases}$$

2.4.1. *Branching rules.* As in the previous case we let Γ_n and Γ_{n-1} be the Dynkin diagrams associated to types C_n and C_{n-1} with the vertices of Γ_n labeled from 1 to n and the vertices from Γ_{n-1} labeled from 2 to n. Consider the inclusion $\Gamma_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_n$ that adds a vertex labeled 1 at the beginning of Γ_{n-1} and the corresponding edge, *i.e.*

(11) 

As a quantum $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}$-representation,

$$\left(V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}}\right)^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}} \cong \bigoplus_\mu c(\mu) V_\mu^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}}, \tag{12}$$

where $\mu = (\mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$ is in $\Lambda_+^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}}$ and the multiplicity $c(\mu)$ is the number of $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ satisfying

$$\lambda_1 \geqslant \nu_1 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \nu_{n-1} \geqslant \lambda_n \geqslant \nu_n \geqslant 0$$
$$\nu_1 \geqslant \mu_2 \geqslant \nu_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \nu_{n-1} \geqslant \mu_n \geqslant \nu_n \geqslant 0$$

This can be depicted schematically as the *Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern* of type C_n

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \lambda_1 & & \lambda_2 & & \lambda_3 & & \cdots & & \lambda_n & & \\ & \nu_1 & & \nu_2 & & \cdots & & \cdots & & \nu_n & \\ & & \mu_2 & & \mu_3 & & \cdots & & \mu_n & & \end{array} \right\rangle, \tag{13}$$

where, for each triple of symbols a_{i-1}, a_i and a_{i+1} placed respectively in column $i-1$, i and $i+1$, we have the inbetweenness condition

$$a_{i-1} \geqslant a_i \geqslant a_{i+1}. \tag{14}$$

The set $\tau_{C_n}(\lambda)$ denotes the set of all such (ν, μ). We stress that the νs appearing above are not to be be interpreted as $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$-highest weights.

In the sequel it will be useful to interpret the GT-pattern in (13) as a sequence of maps of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}$-representations as follows:

$$V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\lambda_1 - \nu_1}} V_{(\nu_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)}^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}} \xrightarrow{\phi_\nu} V_{(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)}^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}} \xrightarrow{\phi_\mu} V_{(\mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)}^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}}.$$

Define the lattice maps $\xi_{-1} \colon \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\xi_{-i} \colon \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ ($i > 1$), and $\xi_{-i} \colon \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ by

$$\xi_{-i}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) & i = 1 \\ (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_i - 1, \dots, \lambda_n) & 1 < i \leqslant n \end{cases}$$

$$\xi_{+i}(\nu) = (\nu_2, \dots, \nu_{i+1} + 1, \dots, \nu_n) \qquad 1 \leqslant i < n$$

and

$$\xi_{-\varnothing}(\lambda) = (\lambda_2, \dots, \dots, \lambda_n), \qquad \xi_{+\varnothing}(\nu) = \nu.$$

For nonempty sequences $\underline{i}^m = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ and $\underline{t}^\ell = (t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$ with $t_j \neq 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ we write

$$\xi_{+\underline{i}^m} = \xi_{+i_m} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{+i_2} \circ \xi_{+i_1}, \qquad \xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell} = \xi_{-t_\ell} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{-t_2} \circ \xi_{-t_1}$$

and

$$\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell, +\underline{i}^m} = \xi_{+\underline{i}^m} \circ \xi_{-\underline{t}_\ell}.$$

For $0 \leqslant c \leqslant \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ we write $\tau_{C_n}(\lambda, c) = \tau_{C_n}(\lambda)|_{\nu_1 = \lambda_1 - c}$

Definition 2.3. The map $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell, +\underline{i}^m}$ is said to be *λc-admissible* $1 < t_1 \leqslant t_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant t_\ell$ and $i_m \leqslant i_{m-1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_1$ and if $\big((\lambda_1 - c) \times \xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda), \xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell, +\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)\big)$ is in $\tau_{C_n}(\lambda, c)$. For fixed $k = m + \ell$ and c we denote by $\mathcal{D}^k_{C_n, \lambda, c}$ the set of all such maps.

Here $(a) \times (b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is the concatenation of sequences. The set $\tau_{C_n}(\lambda)$ can then be seen as the set

$$\mathcal{D}_{C_n} = \bigsqcup_{k \geqslant 0} \bigsqcup_{c=0}^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \mathcal{D}^k_{C_n, \lambda, c}.$$

Hence we can write $\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}$ for the corresponding $\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell-c}, +\underline{i}^m}$ without ambiguity.

Each $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell + \underline{i}^m}$ in $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ (λ-admissible) is of the form $\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell-c}, +\underline{i}^m} \circ \xi^c_{-1}$ where ξ^c_{-1} is $\xi_{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{-1}$ (c times) and $\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell-c}, +\underline{i}^m}$ is in $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, c}$.

2.4.2. *The Gelfand-Tsetlin basis.* The complete Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern for the QEA of type C_n is

$$| \, \lambda^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \nu^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(n)}, \nu^{(n)} \, \rangle$$

where

$$\lambda^{(i)} = \big\{ (\lambda^{(i)}_i, \dots, \lambda^{(i)}_n) \mid \lambda^{(i)}_i \geqslant \lambda^{(i)}_{i+1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda^{(i)}_{n-1} \geqslant \lambda^{(i)}_n \geqslant 0 \big\}$$

$$\nu^{(i)} = \big\{ (\nu^{(i)}_i, \dots, \nu^{(i)}_n) \mid \nu^{(i)}_i \geqslant \nu^{(i)}_{i+1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \nu^{(i)}_{n-1} \geqslant \nu^{(i)}_n \geqslant 0 \big\}$$

and where each triple $(\lambda^{(i)}, \nu^{(i)}, \lambda^{(i+1)})$ satisfies the inbetweenness condition (14).

Schematically we depict a complete GT-pattern as

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\lambda^{(1)}_1 & & \lambda^{(1)}_2 & & \lambda^{(1)}_3 & & \lambda^{(1)}_n & & \\
& \nu^{(1)}_1 & & \nu^{(1)}_2 & & \cdots & & \nu^{(1)}_n & \\
& & \lambda^{(2)}_2 & & \lambda^{(2)}_3 & & \lambda^{(2)}_n & & \\
& & & \nu^{(2)}_2 & & \cdots & & \nu^{(2)}_n & \\
& & & & & & & \vdots & \\
& & & & & & \lambda^{(n)}_n & & \\
& & & & & & & \nu^{(n)}_n &
\end{array} \right\rangle,$$

with entries satisfying the inbetweenness relation (14).

As before we denote by $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ the set of all complete Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns for $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}}$ and by $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space spanned by $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$. There is an actio of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ on $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ such that the $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$-modules $V_{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ and $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}}$ are isomorphic. Explicit formulas for the $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$-action can be found in [4].

3. KLR ALGEBRAS AND THEIR CYCLOTOMIC QUOTIENTS

In this section we describe the quiver Hecke algebras which were introduced by Khovanov and Lauda in [2, 3] and independently by Rouquier in [5]. We concentrate on the particular case of types B_n, C_n and D_n. The KLR algebra R_Γ associated to the quiver Γ is the algebra generated by $\Bbbk$-linear combinations of isotopy classes of braid-like planar diagrams where each strand is labeled by a simple root of $\mathfrak{g}$. Strands can intersect transversely to form crossings and they can also carry dots. Multiplication is given by concatenation of diagrams and the collection of such diagrams is subject to relations (15)-(16) below (for the sake of simplicity we write i instead α_i when labeling a strand). We read, by convention, diagrams from bottom to top (and from left to right) and therefore the diagram for the product $a.b$ is the diagram obtained by stacking the diagram for a on the top of the one for b.

(15)



(16)



(17) 

Algebra R_Γ is graded with the degrees given by

(18) 

The following useful relation follows from (16) and will be used in the sequel.

(19) 

For $\beta = \sum_{j\in\Gamma} \beta_i \alpha_i$ let $R_\Gamma(\beta)$ be the subalgebra generated by all elements of R_Γ containing exactly β_i strands labeled i. We have

$$R_\Gamma = \sum_{\beta\in\Lambda_+(\mathfrak{g})} R_\Gamma(\beta).$$

We also denote by

$$R_\Gamma(k\alpha_1) = \bigoplus_{\beta'\in\Lambda_+(\mathfrak{g})/\mathbb{Z}\alpha_1} R_\Gamma(\beta' + k\alpha_1)$$

the subalgebra of R_Γ containing exactly k strands labeled 1. With this notation we have

(20) $$R_\Gamma = \bigoplus_{k\geqslant 0} R_\Gamma(k\alpha_1).$$

For a sequence $\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ with i_j corresponding to the simple root α_{i_j} we write $1_{\underline{i}}$ for the idempotent formed by k vertical strands with labels in the order given by $\underline{i}$, that is

$1_{\underline{i}} =$ 

We write $1_{*\ell *}$ for $1_{\underline{i}}$ if the sequence of labels $\underline{i} = \underline{j}\underline{\ell}\underline{j}'$ can be written as a concatenation of certain sequences and we are only interested in the $\underline{\ell}$ part and the $*$ can be arbitrary. We also write $x_{r,\underline{i}}$ for the diagram consisting of a dot on the r-th strand of $1_{\underline{i}}$,

$$x_{r,\underline{i}} \;=$$



For β as above we denote by $\mathrm{Seq}(\beta)$ the set of all sequences $\underline{i}$ of simple roots in which i_j appears exactly β_j times. The identity of $R_\Gamma(\beta)$ is then given by

$$1_{R_\Gamma(\beta)} = \sum_{\underline{i}\,\in\,\mathrm{Seq}(\beta)}$$



For an idempotent $e \in R_\Gamma$ there is a (right) projective module ${}_eP = eR_\Gamma$. For $e = 1_{\underline{i}}$ this is the projective spanned by all diagrams whose labels end up in the sequence $\underline{i}$. The definition of the left projective P_e is similar.

Denote by $R_\Gamma\text{-mod}$ and $R_\Gamma\text{-pmod}$ the categories of finitely generated, graded, right R_Γ-modules and of finitely generated, graded, projective right R_Γ-modules, respectively. For idempotents e, e' we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_\Gamma\text{-mod}}\big({}_eP,\ {}_{e'}P\big) = e'R_\Gamma e.$$

For a graded algebra A we denote by $K_0'(A)$ the Grothendieck group of finitely generated, graded, projective A-modules and write $K_0(A)$ for $\mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} K_0'(A)$.

Theorem 3.1 (Khovanov-Lauda [2], Rouquier [5])**.** *The Grothendieck $K_0(R_\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to the lower half $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ through the map that takes $[{}_{\underline{i}}P]$ to $F_{\underline{i}}$.*

3.1. **Categorical inclusion and projection for KLR algebras.** Le Γ_n and Γ_{n-1} the Dynkin diagrams associated to $\mathfrak{g}_n$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ respectively and consider the inclusion $\Gamma_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_n$ that adds a vertex and the corresponding edge at the beginning of Γ_{n-1}, like the ones in Equations (3), (7) and (11). This induces an inclusion of KLR algebras

$$\imath\colon R_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}} \hookrightarrow R_{\mathfrak{g}_n} \qquad\qquad x \mapsto x$$

which coincides with the obvious map coming from the decomposition

$$R_{\mathfrak{g}_n} = \bigoplus_{k\geqslant 0} R_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(k\alpha_1) \cong R_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}} + \bigoplus_{k\geqslant 1} R_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(k\alpha_1). \tag{21}$$

As in the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ (see [8]) the functors of inclusion and restriction induced by $\imath$

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\imath\colon R_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}\text{-mod} \to R_{\mathfrak{g}_n}\text{-mod} \qquad\qquad \mathrm{Res}_\imath\colon R_{\mathfrak{g}_n}\text{-mod} \to R_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}\text{-mod}$$

are biadjoint, take projectives to projectives and descend to the natural inclusion and projection maps between the Grothendieck groups.

3.2. **Cyclotomic KLR-algebras.** Fix a $\mathfrak{g}$-highest weight λ from now on and let I^λ the two-sided ideal of $R_\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $x_{1,\underline{i}}^{\bar{\lambda}_{i_1}}$ for all sequences $\underline{i}$.

Definition 3.2. The cyclotomic KLR algebra $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$ is the quotient of $R_\mathfrak{g}$ by the two-sided ideal I^λ.

In terms of diagrams $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$ is the quotient by the two-sided ideal generated by all the diagrams of the form



where the leftmost strand has $\bar{\lambda}_{j_1}$ dots on it. We always label the leftmost region of a diagram with the partition λ to indicate it is in $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$. The following was proved in [10].

Lemma 3.3. *The cyclotomic KLR algebra $R_\mathfrak{g}^{\bar{\lambda}}$ is Frobenius.*

Projective modules over $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$ are defined the same way as for $R_\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda\text{-}\mathrm{mod}$ and by $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda\text{-}\mathrm{pmod}$ the categories of finitely generated, graded $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$-modules and finitely generated, graded projective $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$-modules respectively. The module category structure in the $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda\text{-}\mathrm{mod}$ was studied in much more detail in ***e.g.*** [1] or [10]. Here we describe the necessary to proceed through this paper. Let $\imath_i\colon R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda(\nu) \to R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda(\nu+\alpha_i)$ be the map obtained by adding a vertical strand labeled i on the right of a diagram from $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$. The categorical $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$ is obtained by the pair of biadjoint exact functors defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_i^\lambda\colon &\quad R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda(\nu)\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\quad\mathrm{Ind}_i\quad} R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda(\nu+\alpha_i)\text{-mod} \\ E_i^\lambda\colon R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda(\nu+\alpha_i)\text{-mod} &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}_i\{\alpha_i^\vee(\lambda-\nu)-1\}} R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda(\nu)\text{-mod} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The following is a particular case of a more general result proved by Kang and Kashiwara in [1] and independently by Webster in [10] .

Theorem 3.4 (Kang-Kashiwara, Webster)**.** *There is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-representations*

$$V_\lambda^\mathfrak{g} \cong K_0\big(R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda\big).$$

Moreover

$$\mathrm{gdim}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda\text{-}\mathrm{mod}}(P,P') = \langle [P],[P']\rangle,$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is the q-Shapovalov form.

This isomorphism sends the isomorphism class of an indecomposable ${}_{j_1\cdots j_r}P^\lambda$ to the weight vector $F_{j_r}\cdots F_{j_1}v_\lambda$.

For two cyclotomic KLR algebras R^λ and $R^{\lambda'}$ with λ and λ' highest weights we have the obvious surjection

$$\varphi_{\lambda'}^\lambda\colon R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda \to R_\mathfrak{g}^{\lambda'}$$

which is compatible from the projections $R_\mathfrak{g} \to R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$ and $R_\mathfrak{g} \to R_\mathfrak{g}^{\lambda'}$. We have the functors

$$\mathrm{ext}_{\lambda'}^\lambda : R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda\text{-mod} \to R_\mathfrak{g}^{\lambda'} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{res}_{\lambda'}^\lambda : R_\mathfrak{g}^{\lambda'}\text{-mod} \to R_\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$$

of extension of scalars and restriction of scalars by $\varphi^{\lambda}_{\lambda'}$. These functors do not commute with the categorical $\mathfrak{g}$-action in (22) but they define maps corresponding with the inclusion/projection between the underlying vector spaces $K_0(R^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightleftarrows K_0(R^{\lambda'}_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Since $\varphi^{\lambda}_{\lambda'}$ is compatible with the map $\imath_i$ of adding a strand labeled i on the right we have isomorphisms $\operatorname{ext}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} F_i^{\lambda} \cong F_i^{\lambda'} \operatorname{ext}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'}$ and $E_i^{\lambda} \operatorname{res}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} \cong \operatorname{res}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} E_i^{\lambda'}$. Note that $\operatorname{ext}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} E_i^{\lambda} \ncong E_i^{\lambda'} \operatorname{ext}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'}$ and $F_i^{\lambda} \operatorname{res}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} \ncong \operatorname{res}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} F_i^{\lambda'}$.

Lemma 3.5. *In the case of $\lambda' = (\lambda_1 - c, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ we have isomorphisms $\operatorname{ext}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} E_i^{\lambda} \cong E_i^{\lambda'} \operatorname{ext}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'}$ and $F_i^{\lambda} \operatorname{res}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} \cong \operatorname{res}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} F_i^{\lambda'}$ for $i \neq 1$, and the maps $K_0(\operatorname{ext}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'})$ and $K_0(\operatorname{res}^{\lambda}_{\lambda'})$ are maps of $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$-representations.*

4. Categorical branching rules

In this section we obtain the categorical version of the branching rule. The approach follows closely the one in [8] for the case of type A_n. From now on $\mathfrak{g}_n$ denotes $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ or $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$. The first result is the following.

Theorem 4.1. *We have a surjection of cyclotomic KLR algebras*

$$\pi^{\lambda} \colon R^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n} \to \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}.$$

We stress using a $\xi(\lambda)$ with ξ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}_n,\lambda}$ is the same as using a $\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}$ with (μ,ν) in $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)$ (see Definitions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 and the remarks following them). We will use this fact implicitly in the sequel.

Using the map above we can define the functors of interest to us in this paper.

Definition 4.2. Let

$$\Pi^{\lambda} = \operatorname{ext}^{\lambda} \colon R^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}\text{-mod} \to \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}\text{-mod}$$

$$M \mapsto M \otimes_{R^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}} \left(\oplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}\right)$$

and $\operatorname{res}^{\lambda} \colon \left(\oplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}\right)\text{-mod} \to R^{\lambda}_{B_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod}$ be the functors of extension of scalars and restriction of scalars by the map π^{λ} from Theorem 4.1 respectively.

Proposition 4.3. *The functors Π^{λ} and $\operatorname{res}^{\lambda}$ are biadjoint. The functor Π^{λ} is full, essentially surjective and intertwines the categorical $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$-action.*

The main result of this section is the folowing.

Theorem 4.4. *The functor Π^{λ} descends to an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$-representations*

$$K_0(\Pi^{\lambda}) \colon V^{\mathfrak{g}_n}_{\lambda} \cong K_0(R^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0\left(\bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu} c(\mu) V^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}}_{\mu},$$

with $c(\mu)$ the number of $\nu = (\nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ such that the pair (ν, μ) is in $\tau_{B_n}(\lambda)$.

Recall that a functor is called a *wide equivalence of categories* if it is full and a bijection on objects. By analogy with the case of type A_n we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.5. *The functor Π^λ is injective on objects and therefore a wide equivalence of categories.*

For the sake of readability we separate the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.4 and Proposition 4.3 in the cases of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, which we do in the next three subsections. These can be read independently from each other.

4.1. **The case of quantum $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$.** We start by defining the special classes of idempotents in $R^\lambda_{B_n}$.

Definition 4.6. We define the idempotents $p_{\pm i}$ by

$$p_{-i} = \begin{cases} p_{i,i+1,\dots,n-1,n,n,n-1,\dots,2,1} & 1 < i \leqslant n, \\ p_{n,n-1,n-2,\dots,2,1} & i = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$p_{+i} = p_{i,i-1,i-2,\dots,2,1} \qquad 1 \leqslant i < n.$$

We denote the horizontal composition $p_{\pm j}p_{\pm k}$ by $p_{\pm j,\pm k}$. We allow ourselves another notational simplification and write $p_{\varepsilon j,\varepsilon k} = p_{\varepsilon jk}$, whenever $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

Definition 4.7. The idempotent $e'(p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m},\underline{j}) \in R^\lambda_{B_n}(\nu + (\ell+m)\alpha_1)$ is said to be a *special idempotent* if $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ is λ-admissible. We use the notation $e(p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,\underline{i}^m},\underline{j})$ for special idempotents.

In the following we give the maps between some cyclotomic KLR algebras that are necessary to obtain the categorical branching rule.

Lemma 4.8. *For each $k \geqslant 0$ there is a surjection of algebras*

$$\pi_k \colon R^\lambda_{B_n}(k\alpha_1) \to \bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m} \in \mathcal{D}^k_\lambda} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}.$$

Proof. We first prove that for each each $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ λ-admissible we have a surjection of algebras

$$\pi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m} \colon R^\lambda_{B_n}((m+\ell)\alpha_1) \to R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}.$$

To this end it is enough to show that for each $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ as above the subalgebra

$$B_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m} = \bigoplus_{\underline{r},\underline{s} \in \mathrm{Seq}\{\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_n\}} e(p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m},\underline{r})\big(R^\lambda_{B_n}((m+\ell)\alpha_1)\big)e(p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m},\underline{s})$$

projects onto $R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}$.

Let $\widetilde{B}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m} \subset B_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ be the subalgebra generated by all elements having a representative given by diagrams which consist of a horizontal composition of $p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ and a diagram from

$R^{\lambda}_{B_n}(0.\alpha_1)$ from left to right, as below



Let $\widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ be its complement vector space. Moreover, let also $\widetilde{B}^{\zeta}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ be the quotient of $\widetilde{B}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ by the two sided ideal generated by all diagrams of the form



where $\zeta = \overline{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}$. The algebras $\widetilde{B}^{\zeta}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ and $R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_n}$ are isomorphic by the map that sends $p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}X \in \widetilde{B}^{\zeta}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ to $X \in R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_n}$.

It is enough to show the cases of $(\ell, m) = (1, 0)$ and $(\ell, m) = (0, 1)$, since the general case follows easily by recursion. For this purpose we consider $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1})$ and compute

$X_{\pm i}(j, r_j) =$



where in the case of $+i$ we consider $1 \leqslant i < n$ and in the case of $-i$ we take $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. The computation only uses the KLR relations and goes exactly as in [8]. Denote by

$Z_{\pm i}(j, \tilde{r}_j) =$



For $X_{-i}(j, r_j)$ we obtain for $i \leqslant n - 1$

$$X_{-i}(j, r_j) = \begin{cases} Z_{-i}(j, r_j + 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i - 1, \\ Z_{-i}(j, r_j - 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i, \\ Z_{-i}(j, r_j) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & \text{else}, \end{cases}$$

while for $i = n$ we get

$$X_{-n}(j, r_j) = \begin{cases} Z_{-n}(j, r_j + 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = n-1, \\ Z_{-n}(j, r_j - 2) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = n, \\ Z_{-n}(j, r_j) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

For $X_{+i}(j, r_j)$ we obtain for $i < n-1$

$$X_{+i}(j, r_j) = \begin{cases} Z_{+j}(j, r_j - 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i, \\ Z_{+j}(j, r_j + 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i+1, \\ Z_{+j}(j, r_j) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

while for $i = n-1$ we get

$$X_{+(n-1)}(j, r_j) = \begin{cases} Z_{+(n-1)}(j, r_j - 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = n-1, \\ Z_{+(n-1)}(j, r_j + 2) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = n, \\ Z_{+(n-1)}(j, r_j) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(we leave the details to the reader). Altogether we get that



Taking $r_i = \overline{\lambda}_i$ we see that $X_{\pm i}(j, \overline{\lambda}_j)$ consists of a sum of a term in $\widetilde{B}^{\zeta}_{\pm i} \cong R^{\xi_{\pm i}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}$ with terms in $\widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i}$. This shows that $R^{\lambda}_{B_n}(\alpha_1)$ projects onto $R^{\xi_{\pm i}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}$. We call this projection $\pi_{\pm i}$. The kernel of $\pi_{\pm i}$ is the two-sided ideal generated by the elements in $\widetilde{B}^{\perp}_{\pm i}$ involved above. Proceeding recursively one gets that $\pi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell}, +\underline{i}^{m}}$ is a surjection of algebras. The lemma now follows from the observation that $R^{\lambda}_{B_n}((m+\ell)\alpha_1)$ projects canonically onto $\bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell}, +\underline{i}^{m}} \in \mathcal{D}^{m+\ell}_{\lambda}} B_{-\underline{t}^{\ell}, +\underline{i}^{m}}$. □

Summing over k in Lemma 4.8 we have the following.

Corollary 4.9. *We have a surjection of algebras*

$$\pi^{\lambda} \colon R^{\lambda}_{B_n} \to \bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell}, +\underline{i}^{m}} \in \mathcal{D}_{B_n, \lambda}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell}, +\underline{i}^{m}}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}.$$

This proves the case of $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ in Theorem 4.1. Moreover, by an adequate choice of the maps $\pi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell}, +\underline{i}^{m}}$, we can rephrase Lemma 4.8 and Corollary 4.9.

Corollary 4.10. *For each $(\nu, \mu) \in \tau_{B_n}(\lambda)$ there is a surjection of algebras*

$$\pi_{(\nu,\mu)} \colon R^{\lambda}_{B_n} \to R^{\mu}_{B_{n-1}}$$

giving rise to a surjection of algebras

$$\pi\colon R^{\lambda}_{B_n} \to \bigoplus_{(\nu,\mu)\in\tau_{B_n}(\lambda)} R^{\mu}_{B_{n-1}}.$$

Fix a $k \geqslant 1$ and let

$$\Pi^{\lambda}_k = \mathrm{ext}^{\lambda}_k\colon R^{\lambda}_{B_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod} \to \bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}\in\mathcal{D}^k_{\lambda}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}\text{-mod}$$

$$M \mapsto M\otimes_{R^{\lambda}_{B_n}(k\alpha_1)}\left(\oplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}\right)$$

and $\mathrm{res}^{\lambda}_k\colon \left(\oplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}\right)\text{-mod} \to R^{\lambda}_{B_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod}$ be respectively the functors of extension of scalars and restriction of scalars by the map π_k from Lemma 4.15.

Using the surjections $\pi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}\colon R^{\lambda}_{B_n}(k\alpha_1) \to R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}$ for each $\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}$ that are inherited from the map π_k we call

$$\Pi^{\lambda}_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}\colon R^{\lambda}_{B_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod} \to R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}\text{-mod}$$

and

$$\mathrm{res}^{\lambda}_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}\colon R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}\text{-mod} \to R^{\lambda}_{B_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod}$$

the *components* of Π^{λ}_k and res^{λ}_k.

The following can be proved in the same way as in [8] for the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$.

Lemma 4.11. *The functors Π^{λ}_k and res^{λ}_k are biadjoint. The functor Π^{λ}_k is full and essentially surjective and each $\Pi^{\lambda}_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}$ intertwines the categorical $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}$-action.*

Finally define the functor

$$\Pi^{\lambda} = \bigoplus_{k\geqslant 0}\Pi^{\lambda}_k\colon R^{\lambda}_{B_n}\text{-mod} \to \bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}\in\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}\text{-mod}\,.$$

Summing over k in Lemma 4.11 proves the case of $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ in Proposition 4.3.
Each component $\Pi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}$ of Π^{λ}_k descends to a surjection

$$K_0(\Pi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m})\colon K_0(R^{\lambda}_{B_n}((m+\ell)\alpha_1)) \to K_0(R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell}+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}})$$

between the respective Grothendieck groups. This surjection intertwines the $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}$-action by Lemma 4.11. The main result of this section now follows by counting dimensions.

Theorem 4.12. *Functor Π^{λ} descends to an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}$-representations*

$$K_0(\Pi^{\lambda})\colon V^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}}_{\lambda} \cong K_0(R^{\lambda}_{B_n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0\left(\bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}\in\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu} c(\mu)V^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}}_{\mu},$$

with $c(\mu)$ the number of $\nu = (\nu_2,\dots,\nu_n)$ such that the pair (ν,μ) is in $\tau_{B_n}(\lambda)$.

This proves the case of $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ in Theorem 4.4.

4.2. **The case of quantum $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$.** We start by defining the special classes of idempotents in $R^{\lambda}_{D_n}$.

Definition 4.13. We define the idempotents $p_{\pm i}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{-i} &= p_{i,i+1,\dots,n-2,n-1,n,n-2,n-3,\dots,2,1} && 1 < i \leqslant n, \\ p_{+i} &= p_{i,i-1,i-2,\dots,2,1} && 1 \leqslant i < n. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the horizontal composition $p_{\pm j}p_{\pm k}$ by $p_{\pm j,\pm k}$. We allow ourselves another notational simplification and write $p_{\varepsilon j,\varepsilon k} = p_{\varepsilon jk}$, whenever $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

Definition 4.14. The idempotent $e'(p_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell},\underline{j}) \in R^{\lambda}_{D_n}(\nu + (m+\ell)\alpha_1)$ is said to be a *special idempotent* if $\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ is λ-admissible. We use the notation $e(p_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell},\underline{j})$ for special idempotents.

In the following we give the maps between some cyclotomic KLR algebras that are necessary to obtain the categorical branching rule.

Lemma 4.15. *For each $k \geqslant 0$ there is a surjection of algebras*

$$\pi_k \colon R^{\lambda}_{D_n}(k\alpha_1) \to \bigoplus_{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell} \in \mathcal{D}^k_\lambda} R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}.$$

Proof. We first prove that for each each $\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ λ-admissible we have a surjection of algebras

$$\pi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell} \colon R^{\lambda}_{D_n}((m+\ell)\alpha_1) \to R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}.$$

To this end it is enough to show that for each $\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ as above the subalgebra

$$D_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell} = \bigoplus_{\underline{r},\underline{s} \in \mathrm{Seq}\{\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_n\}} e(p_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell},\underline{r})\big(R^{\lambda}_{D_n}((m+\ell)\alpha_1)\big)e(p_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell},\underline{s})$$

projects onto $R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}$.

Let $\widetilde{D}_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell} \subset D_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ be the subalgebra generated by all elements having a representative given by diagrams which consist of a horizontal composition of $p_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ and a diagram from $R^{\lambda}_{D_n}(0.\alpha_1)$ from left to right, as below



Let $\widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ be its complement vector space. Moreover, let also $\widetilde{D}^{\zeta}_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ be the quotient of $\widetilde{D}_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ by the two sided ideal generated by all diagrams of the form



where $\zeta = \overline{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}$. The algebras $\widetilde{D}^{\zeta}_{+\underline{i}^m,\underline{t}^\ell}$ and $R_{D_n}^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}$ are isomorphic by the map that sends $p_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}X$ to X.

It is enough to show the cases of $(m,\ell) = (1,0)$ and $(m,\ell) = (0,1)$, sincethe general case follows easily by recursion. For this purpose we consider $r = (r_1,\dots,r_{n-1})$ and compute

$X_{\pm i}(j,r_j) =$



where in the case of $+i$ we consider $1 \leqslant i < n$ and in the case of $-i$ we take $1 < i \leqslant n$. The computation only uses the KLR relations and goes exactly as in [8].

Denote by

$Z_{\pm i}(j,\tilde{r}_j) =$



For the $X_{-i}(j,r_j)$ we obtain for $i \leqslant n-1$

$$X_{-i}(j,r_j) = \begin{cases} Z_{-i}(j,r_j+1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i-1, \\ Z_{-i}(j,r_j-1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i, \\ Z_{-i}(j,r_j) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

For $X_{+i}(j,r_j)$ we obtain

$$X_{+i}(j,r_j) = \begin{cases} Z_{+i}(j,r_j-1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i, \\ Z_{+i}(j,r_j+1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i+1 \neq n, \\ Z_{+i}(j,r_j+1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & i = n-2, j = n, \\ Z_{+i}(j,r_j+1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & i = n-1, j = n, \\ Z_{+i}(j,r_j) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

(we leave the details to the reader). Altogether we get that



Taking $r_i = \overline{\lambda}_i$ we see that $X_{\pm i}(j, \overline{\lambda}_j)$ consists of a sum of a term in $\widetilde{D}^{\zeta}_{\pm i} \cong R^{\xi_{\pm i}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}$ with terms in $\widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{\pm i}$. This shows that $R^{\lambda}_{D_n}(\alpha_1)$ projects onto $R^{\xi_{\pm i}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}$. We call this projection $\pi_{\pm i}$. The kernel of $\pi_{\pm i}$ is the two-sided ideal generated by the elements in $\widetilde{D}^{\perp}_{\pm i}$ involved above. Proceeding recursively one gets that $\pi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ is a surjection of algebras. The lemma now follows from the observation that $R^{\lambda}_{D_n}((m+\ell)\alpha_1)$ projects canonically onto $\bigoplus_{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell} \in \mathcal{D}^{m+\ell}_{\lambda}} D_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$. □

Summing over k in Lemma 4.15 we have the following.

Corollary 4.16. *We have a surjection of algebras*

$$\pi^{\lambda} \colon R^{\lambda}_{D_n} \to \bigoplus_{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell} \in \mathcal{D}_{D_n,\lambda}} R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}.$$

This proves Theorem 4.1 in the case of $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$. Moreover, by an adequate choice of the maps $\pi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$, we can rephrase Lemma 4.15 and Corollary 4.16.

Corollary 4.17. *For each $(\nu,\mu) \in \tau_{D_n}(\lambda)$ there is a surjection of algebras*

$$\pi_{(\nu,\mu)} \colon R^{\lambda}_{D_n} \to R^{\mu}_{D_{n-1}}$$

giving rise to a surjection of algebras

$$\pi \colon R^{\lambda}_{D_n} \to \bigoplus_{(\nu,\mu) \in \tau_{D_n}(\lambda)} R^{\mu}_{D_{n-1}}.$$

Fix a $k \geqslant 1$ and let

$$\Pi^{\lambda}_k = \mathrm{ext}^{\lambda}_k \colon R^{\lambda}_{D_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod} \to \bigoplus_{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell} \in \mathcal{D}^k_{\lambda}} R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}\text{-mod}$$

$$M \mapsto M \otimes_{R^{\lambda}_{D_n}(k\alpha_1)} \left(\oplus_{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}} R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}} \right)$$

and $\mathrm{res}^{\lambda}_k \colon \left(\oplus_{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}} R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}\right)\text{-mod} \to R^{\lambda}_{D_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod}$ be respectively the functors of extension of scalars and restriction of scalars by the map π_k from Lemma 4.15.

Using the surjections $\pi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell} \colon R^{\lambda}_{D_n}(k\alpha_1) \to R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^m}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}$ for each $\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ that are inherited from the map π_k we call

$$\Pi^{\lambda}_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell} \colon R^{\lambda}_{D_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod} \to R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}\text{-mod}$$

and

$$\mathrm{res}^{\lambda}_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}\colon R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}\text{-mod} \to R^{\lambda}_{D_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod}$$

the *components* of Π^λ_k and res^λ_k.

The following can be proved in the same way as in [8] for the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$.

Lemma 4.18. *The functors Π^λ_k and res^λ_k are biadjoint. The functor Π^λ_k is full and essentially surjective and each $\Pi^\lambda_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ intertwines the categorical $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-2}$-action.*

Finally define the functor

$$\Pi^\lambda = \bigoplus_{k\geqslant 0}\Pi^\lambda_k\colon R^\lambda_{D_n}\text{-mod} \to \bigoplus_{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}\in\mathcal{D}_\lambda} R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}\text{-mod}\,.$$

Summing over k in Lemma 4.18 proves the case of $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ in Proposition 4.3. Each component $\Pi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}$ of Π^λ_k descends to a surjection

$$K_0(\Pi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell})\colon K_0(R^\lambda_{D_n}((m+\ell)\alpha_1)) \to K_0(R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}})$$

between the respective Grothendieck groups. This surjection intertwines the $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-2}$-action by Lemma 4.18. The main result of this section now follows by counting dimensions.

Theorem 4.19. *Functor Π^λ descends to an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-2}$-representations*

$$K_0(\Pi^\lambda)\colon V^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n}}_\lambda \cong K_0(R^\lambda_{D_n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0\Bigg(\bigoplus_{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}\in\mathcal{D}_\lambda} R^{\xi_{+\underline{i}^m,-\underline{t}^\ell}(\lambda)}_{D_{n-1}}\Bigg) \cong \bigoplus_\mu c(\mu)V^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n-2}}_\mu,$$

with $c(\mu)$ the number of $\nu=(\nu_2,\dots,\nu_n)$ such that the pair (ν,μ) is in $\tau_{D_n}(\lambda)$.

This proves the case of $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ in Theorem 4.4.

4.3. **The case of quantum $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$.** Due to the particular form of ν in the Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern (13) for type C_n and the fact that in the case of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ no positive root δ satisfies $\lambda-\delta = (\lambda_1-1,\lambda_2,\dots,\lambda_n)$ we need to use a different technique. We stress that, although satisfying $\nu_1\geqslant\cdots\geqslant\nu_n$, the n-tuple ν is not to be interpreted as a $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$-weighest weight here. To obtain the categorical branching rule in this case we proceed in two steps. As before we start by defining the special classes of idempotents in $R^\lambda_{C_n}$.

Definition 4.20. We define the idempotents $p_{\pm i}$ by

$$p_{-i} = \begin{cases} p_{i,i+1,\dots,n-1,n,n-1,\dots,2,1} & 1<i\leqslant n,\\ p_{n,n-1,n-2,\dots,2,1} & i=n,\end{cases}$$

$$p_{+i} = p_{i,i-1,i-2,\dots,2,1} \qquad 1\leqslant i<n.$$

Notice there is no p_{-1}. We denote the horizontal composition $p_{\pm j}p_{\pm k}$ by $p_{\pm j,\pm k}$. As before and write $p_{\varepsilon j,\varepsilon k} = p_{\varepsilon jk}$, whenever $\varepsilon=\pm 1$.

Definition 4.21. The idempotent $e'(p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m},\underline{j}) \in R^\lambda_{B_n}(\nu+(\ell+m)\alpha_1)$ is said to be a *special idempotent* if for fixed c, the map $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ is λc-admissible. We use the notation $e(p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,\underline{i}^m},\underline{j})$ for special idempotents.

In the following we give the maps between the cyclotomic KLR algebras that are necessary to obtain the categorical branching rule. We simplify notation and write $\lambda - c = (\lambda_1 - c, \lambda_2, \dots \lambda_n)$.

Lemma 4.22. *For each $c = 0, \dots, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ and $k \geqslant 0$ there is a surjection of algebras*

$$\pi_k \colon R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}(k\alpha_1) \to \bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m} \in \mathcal{D}^k_{\lambda,c}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{C_{n-1}}.$$

Proof. We first prove that for each each $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ λc-admissible we have a surjection of algebras

$$\pi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m} \colon R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}((\ell+m)\alpha_1) \to R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{C_{n-1}}.$$

To this end it is enough to show that for each $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ as above, the subalgebra

$$C_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m} = \bigoplus_{\underline{r},\underline{s} \in \mathrm{Seq}\{\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_n\}} e(p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m},\underline{r}) \big(R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}((\ell+m)\alpha_1)\big) e(p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m},\underline{s})$$

projects onto $R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{C_{n-1}}$.

Let $\widetilde{C}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m} \subset C_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ be the subalgebra generated by all elements having a representative given by diagrams which consist of a horizontal composition of $p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ and a diagram from $R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}(0.\alpha_1)$ from left to right, as below



Let $\widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ be its complement vector space. Moreover, let also $\widetilde{C}^{\zeta}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ be the quotient of $\widetilde{C}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ by the two sided ideal generated by all diagrams of the form



where $\zeta = \overline{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}$. The algebras $\widetilde{C}^{\zeta}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ and $R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}_{C_n}$ are isomorphic by the map that sends $p_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}X$ to X.

As before it is enough to show the cases of $(\ell, m) = (1, 0)$ and $(\ell, m) = (0, 1)$, since the general case follows easily by recursion. For this purpose we consider $r = (r_1, \dots, r_{n-1})$ and compute

$X_{\pm i}(j, r_j) =$ 

where in the case of $+i$ we consider $1 \leqslant i < n$ and in the case of $-i$ we take $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. The computation only uses the KLR relations and goes exactly as in [8]. Denote by

$Z_{\pm i}(j, \tilde{r}_j) =$ 

For $X_{-i}(j, r_j)$ we obtain for $i \leqslant n - 1$

$$X_{-i}(j, r_j) = \begin{cases} Z_{-j}(j, r_j + 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i - 1, \\ Z_{+j}(j, r_j - 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i, \\ Z_{+j}(j, r_j) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & \text{else}, \end{cases}$$

while for $i = n$ we get

$$X_{-n}(j, r_j) = \begin{cases} Z_{-n}(j, r_j + 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = n - 1, \\ Z_{-n}(j, r_j - 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = n, \\ Z_{-n}(j, r_j) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & \text{else}. \end{cases}$$

For $X_{+i}(j, r_j)$ we obtain for $1 \leqslant i < n$

$$X_{+i}(j, r_j) = \begin{cases} Z_{+i}(j, r_j - 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i, \\ Z_{+i}(j, r_j + 1) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & j = i + 1, \\ Z_{+i}(j, r_j) + \text{ terms in } \widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

(we leave the details to the reader).

Altogether we get that



Taking $r_i = \overline{\lambda}_i$ we see that $X_{\pm i}(j, \overline{\lambda}_j)$ consists of a sum of a term in $\widetilde{C}^{\zeta}_{\pm i} \cong R^{\xi_{\pm i}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}$ with terms in $\widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i}$. This shows that $R^{\lambda - c}_{C_n}(\alpha_1)$ projects onto $R^{\xi_{\pm i}(\lambda)}_{C_{n-1}}$. We call this projection $\pi_{\pm i}$. The kernel

of $\pi_{\pm i}$ is the two-sided ideal generated by the elements in $\widetilde{C}^{\perp}_{\pm i}$ involved above. Proceeding recursively one gets that $\pi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}$ is a surjection of algebras. The lemma now follows from the observation that $R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}((m+\ell)\alpha_1)$ projects canonically onto $\bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}\in\mathcal{D}^{m+\ell}_{\lambda,c}} C_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}$. □

Making use of the surjections $\varphi^{\lambda}_{\lambda-c}\colon R^{\lambda}_{C_n}\to R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}$ from Subsection 3.2 and summing and over k in Lemma 4.22 and over c we have the following (the φ are to be applied before the $\pi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}$s).

Corollary 4.23. *We have a surjection of algebras*

$$\pi^{\lambda}\colon R^{\lambda}_{C_n}\to \bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}\in\mathcal{D}_{C_n,\lambda}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}(\lambda)}_{C_{n-1}}.$$

This proves the case of $\mathfrak{g}_n=\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ in Theorem 4.1. Moreover, by an adequate choice of the maps $\pi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}$, we can rephrase Lemma 4.22 and Corollary 4.23.

Corollary 4.24. *For each $(\nu,\mu)\in\tau_{B_n}(\lambda)$ there is a surjection of algebras*

$$\pi_{(\nu,\mu)}\colon R^{\lambda}_{C_n}\to R^{\mu}_{C_{n-1}}$$

giving rise to a surjection of algebras

$$R^{\lambda}_{C_n}\xrightarrow{\ \pi\ }\bigoplus_{(\nu,\mu)\in\tau_{C_n}(\lambda)} R^{\mu}_{C_{n-1}}.$$

Fix a $k\geqslant 1$ and let

$$\Pi^{\lambda-c}_{k}=\operatorname{ext}^{\lambda-c}_{k}\colon R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod}\to \bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}\in\mathcal{D}^{k}_{\lambda,c}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}(\lambda)}_{C_{n-1}}\text{-mod}$$

$$M\mapsto M\otimes_{R^{\lambda}_{C_n}(k\alpha_1)}\left(\oplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}\right)$$

and $\operatorname{res}^{\lambda-c}_{k}\colon\left(\oplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}} R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}(\lambda)}_{B_{n-1}}\right)\text{-mod}\to R^{\lambda-c}_{B_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod}$ be respectively the functors of extension of scalars and restriction of scalars by the map π_k from Lemma 4.22.

Using the surjections $\pi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}\colon R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}(k\alpha_1)\to R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}(\lambda)}_{C_{n-1}}$ for each $\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}$ that are inherited from the map π_k we call

$$\Pi^{\lambda-c}_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}\colon R^{\lambda}_{C_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod}\to R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}(\lambda)}_{C_{n-1}}\text{-mod}$$

and

$$\operatorname{res}^{\lambda-c}_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}\colon R^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}(\lambda)}_{B_{C-1}}\text{-mod}\to R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}(k\alpha_1)\text{-mod}$$

the *components* of Π^{λ}_{k} and $\operatorname{res}^{\lambda}_{k}$. The following can be proved in the same way as in [8] for the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$.

Lemma 4.25. *The functors $\Pi^{\lambda-c}_{k}$ and $\operatorname{res}^{\lambda-c}_{k}$ are biadjoint. The functor $\Pi^{\lambda-c}_{k}$ is full and essentially surjective and each $\Pi^{\lambda-c}_{-\underline{t}^{\ell},+\underline{i}^{m}}$ intertwines the categorical $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}$-action.*

Define also the functor

$$\Pi^{\lambda-c}=\bigoplus_{k\geqslant 0}\Pi_k^{\lambda-c}\colon R_{C_n}^{\lambda-c}\text{-mod}\to\bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}\in\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,c}}R_{C_{n-1}}^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}\text{-mod}\,.$$

Each component $\Pi^{\lambda-c}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}$ of $\Pi_k^{\lambda-c}$ descends to a surjection

$$K_0(\Pi^{\lambda-c}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m})\colon K_0(R_{C_n}^{\lambda-c}((\ell+m)\alpha_1))\to K_0(R_{C_{n-1}}^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)})$$

between the respective Grothendieck groups for each $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell+\underline{i}^m}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,c}$. Precomposing with the maps $\varphi^\lambda_{\lambda-c}$ from Subsection 3.2 for $0\leqslant c\leqslant\lambda_1-\lambda_2$ we get surjections

$$K_0(\Pi^{\lambda-c}_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}\operatorname{ext}^\lambda_{\lambda-c})\colon K_0(R^\lambda_{C_n}((\ell+m)\alpha_1))\to K_0(R_{C_n}^{\lambda-c}((\ell+m)\alpha_1))\to K_0(R_{C_{n-1}}^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)})$$

which intertwine the $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}$-action by Lemma 4.11 and the remarks at the end of Subsection 3.2. Here $\operatorname{ext}^\lambda_{\lambda-c}\colon R^\lambda_{C_n}\to R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}$ are the functors of extension of scalars by $\varphi^\lambda_{\lambda-c}$.

To use $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ instead of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,c}$ we define for $\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell+\underline{i}^m}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{m+\ell}_\lambda$ (see the comments at the end of Subsection 2.4.1)

$$\Pi^\lambda_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}=\Pi^\lambda_{-\underline{t}^{\ell-c},+\underline{i}^m}\circ\operatorname{ext}^\lambda_{\lambda-c}\colon R^\lambda_{C_n}((\ell+m)\alpha_1)\text{-mod}\to R_{C_{n-1}}^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}\text{-mod}\,.$$

Finally we sum over $k=\ell+m$ to obtain

$$\Pi^\lambda=\bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}\in\mathcal{D}_\lambda}\Pi^\lambda_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}\colon R^\lambda_{C_n}\text{-mod}\to\bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}\in\mathcal{D}_\lambda}R_{C_{n-1}}^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}\text{-mod}\,.$$

This is more compact way of describing Π^λ as the composite functor

$$\Pi^\lambda=\bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\Pi^{\lambda-c}\colon R^\lambda_{C_n}\text{-mod}\xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\operatorname{ext}^\lambda_{\lambda-c}}$$

$$\bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}R^{\lambda-c}_{C_n}\text{-mod}\xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\Pi^{\lambda-c}}\bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}\in\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,c}}R_{C_{n-1}}^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}\text{-mod}\,.$$

Lemma 4.25 together Lemma 3.5 proves the case of $\mathfrak{g}_n=\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ in Proposition 4.3. The main result of this section now follows by counting dimensions.

Theorem 4.26. *Functor Π^λ descends to an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}$-representations*

$$K_0(\Pi^\lambda)\colon V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}}\cong K_0(R^\lambda_{C_n})\xrightarrow{\cong}K_0\Bigg(\bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\bigoplus_{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}\in\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,c}}R_{B_{C-1}}^{\xi_{-\underline{t}^\ell,+\underline{i}^m}(\lambda)}\Bigg)\cong\bigoplus_\mu c(\mu)V_\mu^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}},$$

with $c(\mu)$ the number of $\nu=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_n)$ such that the pair (ν,μ) is in $\tau_{C_n}(\lambda)$.

This proves the case of $\mathfrak{g}_n=\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ in Theorem 4.4.

4.4. **The cyclotomic quotient conjecture revisited.** We can no extend the types B_n, C_n and D_n the elementary proof of the Khovanov-Lauda cyclotomic conjecture given in [8] for type A_n. The proof of the following is just an application *ipsis verbis* of the arguments of Theorem 5.19 in [8].

Theorem 4.27. *For $\mathfrak{g}$ a quantum Kac-Moody algebra of classical type we have an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules*

$$K_0(R^\lambda_\mathfrak{g}) \cong V^\mathfrak{g}_\lambda.$$

5. The categorical Gelfand-Tsetlin basis

Al the results of section 5 in [8] remain true in the present case. To avoid repeting all the arguments we simply state the results and refer to [8] for the proofs. To adjust the notation to the present paper we define functors

$$E_j^{\xi^{\mu',\nu'}_{\mu,\nu}(\lambda)}, F_j^{\xi^{\mu',\nu'}_{\mu,\nu}(\lambda)} \colon R_n^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}\text{-mod} \to R_n^{\xi_{(\mu',\nu')}(\lambda)}\text{-mod},$$

for (μ,ν), (μ',ν') in $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)$ and $j = 2,\dots,n$, by

$$E_j^{\xi^{\mu',\nu'}_{\mu,\nu}(\lambda)} = \Pi^\lambda_{(\mu',\nu')} E_j^{\mathfrak{g}_n} \operatorname{res}^\lambda_{(\mu,\nu)}$$

and

$$F_j^{\xi^{\mu',\nu'}_{\mu,\nu}(\lambda)} = \Pi^\lambda_{(\mu',\nu')} F_j^{\mathfrak{g}_n} \operatorname{res}^\lambda_{(\mu,\nu)}.$$

These functors are zero unless $(\mu,\nu) = (\mu',\nu')$. In this case they coincide with the functors $E_j^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}$ and $F_j^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}$ from the structure of categorical $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$-module on $R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$-mod.

Definition 5.1. For $i \in \{2,\dots,n\}$ we define the functors

$$F_j^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}} = \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} F_j^{\xi^{\mu,\nu}_{\mu,\nu}(\lambda)} \quad \text{and} \quad E_j^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}} = \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} E_j^{\xi^{\mu,\nu}_{\mu,\nu}(\lambda)}$$

with the obvious source and target categories.

Note that, each of the subcategories $R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$-pmod is stable under the action of the functors $F_j^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$ and $E_j^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$.

To treat the case of the functors $F_1^{\mathfrak{g}_n}$ and $E_1^{\mathfrak{g}_n}$ we proceed as in [8]. Recall that for a projective M in $R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$-mod the module $\operatorname{res}^\lambda_{(\mu,\nu)}(M)$ in $R^\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_n}$-mod which is not projective in general and therefore we cannot be sure that the modules $\Pi^\lambda_{(\mu',\nu')} F_1^{\mathfrak{g}_n} \operatorname{res}^\lambda_{(\mu,\nu)}(M)$ and $\Pi^\lambda_{(\mu',\nu')} E_1^{\mathfrak{g}_n} \operatorname{res}^\lambda_{(\mu,\nu)}(M)$ are projective in $\bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$-mod.

To overcome this we define new functors

$$F_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}, E_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}} \colon \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}\text{-mod} \to \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} R^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}\text{-mod}$$

by

$$F_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}(M) = \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} \Pi^{\lambda}_{(\mu',\nu')} F_1^{\mathfrak{g}_n} P(\mathrm{res}^{\lambda}_{(\mu,\nu)}(M))$$

and

$$E_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}(M) = \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} \Pi^{\lambda}_{(\mu',\nu')} E_1^{\mathfrak{g}_n} P(\mathrm{res}^{\lambda}_{(\mu,\nu)}(M))$$

where $P(-)$ is the projective cover in $R^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}$ -mod.

Lemma 5.2. *The pair of (biadjoint) endofunctors* $\{F_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}, E_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}\}$ *take projectives to projectives and define a categorical* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$*-action on* $\bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} R_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)}$ -pmod.

Lemma 5.3. *The functors* $\{F_j^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}, E_j^{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}\}_{\in\{1,\dots,n\}}$ *define a categorical* $\mathfrak{g}_n$*-action on*

$$\bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu)\in\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\lambda)} R_n^{\xi_{(\mu,\nu)}(\lambda)} \text{-mod}\,.$$

Corollary 5.4. *With the action* $E_n^{\mathfrak{g}_n}$ *and* $F_n^{\mathfrak{g}_n}$ *as above the isomorphism* $K_0(\Pi^{\lambda})$ *in Theorem 4.4 is a surjection of* $\mathfrak{g}_n$*-representations.*

5.1. **Classes of special indecomposables and the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis.** We now pass to describe the classes of special indecomposables corresponding to the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis. Let $s \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ be a complete Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern. Each such s defines a special idempotent $e(s)$ obtained by horizontal composition of the special idempotents defined in Section 4, each one corresponding to a surjection $R^{\eta}_{\mathfrak{g}_m} \to R^{\eta'}_{\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}}$ as in Theorem 4.1. We also define a functor

$$\mathrm{res}^s \colon \Bbbk\text{-mod} \to R^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n} \text{-mod}\,.$$

which is the composite of the restriction functors defined in Section 4 corresponding to s.

It is not hard to see that the functor res^s takes the one-dimensional $\Bbbk$-module $\Bbbk$ to the module ${}_{e(s)}L$ which is a quotient of the projective $R^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}$-module ${}_{e(s)}P$. This can be given a description in terms of diagrams as we did in type A_n.

As in [8] we define an algebra $\breve{R}^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}$ by

$$\breve{R}^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n} = \bigoplus_{s\in\mathcal{S}(\lambda)} R^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}/\ker(\pi_s). \tag{23}$$

We have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\breve{R}^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}\text{-mod}}({}_{e(s)}L, {}_{e(s')}L) = 0$ if $s \neq s'$. Moreover the module ${}_{e(s)}L$ is projective indecomposable as a module over $\breve{R}^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}$.

We can continuing adjusting the construction in [8] and define a pair of biadjoint endofunctors $\breve{F}^{\lambda}_j$ and $\breve{E}^{\lambda}_j$ on $\breve{R}^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}$ -mod that take projectives to projectives and define a categorical $\mathfrak{g}_n$-action on $\breve{R}^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}$ -mod. This is constructed using the appropriate compositions of the various functors $\mathrm{res}^{\eta}_{\eta'}$, $\Pi^{\eta}_{\eta'}$, F^{η}_j, and E^{η}_j, and taking projective envelopes as in the previous subsection. We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *There is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_n$ representations*

$$K_0(\check{R}^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} V^{\mathfrak{g}_n}_{\lambda}$$

taking the projective ${}_{e(s)}L$ to the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis element $|\, s \,\rangle$. Moreover, the isomorphism $K_0(\Pi^{\lambda})\colon K_0(R^{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}) \to V^{\mathfrak{g}_n}_{\lambda}$ of Theorem 4.4 sends the projective ${}_{e(s)}P$ to the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis element $|\, s \,\rangle$.

Proof. The first claim follows from Theorem 4.4 together with the fact that the ideal $\ker(\pi_s)$ is virtually nilpotent (this can be seen on a case-by-case check using the proofs of the Lemmas 4.8, 4.22, and 4.15 together with the diagrammatic description of the categorical projection given in Subsection 4.2 of [7]). The second claim is a consequence of the first one, since, for the submodule $K = \ker(\pi_s) \subset {}_{e(s)}P$, we have $K_0(K) = 0$ and a s.e.s. $0 \to K \to {}_{e(s)}P \to {}_{e(s)}L \to 0$. □

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Daniel Tubbenhauer for numerous helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Kang and M. Kashiwara, Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras. *Invent. Math.* (2012) 190, 399-742.
[2] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I. *Representation Theory* 13 (2009), 309-347.
[3] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 363 (2011), no. 5, 2685-2700.
[4] A. I. Molev, Gelfand-Tsetlin bases for classical Lie algebras. *Handbook of algebra*, vol 4, (M. Hazewinkel, Ed.), Elsevier, 109-170.
[5] R. Rouquier, 2-Kac-Moody algebras. arXiv:0812.5023.
[6] R. Rouquier, Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras. *Algebra colloquium* 19 (2012), 354410.
[7] P. Vaz, On Jaeger's HOMFLY-PT expansions, branching rules and link homology: a progress report. (to appear in *Boletim Soc. Portuguesa Mat.*) (2012), arXiv:1309.1616 [math.QA].
[8] P. Vaz, KLR algebras and the branching rule I: the categorical Gelfand-Tsetlin basis in type A_n. arXiv: 1309.0330.
[9] P. Vaz and E. Wagner, A remark on BMW algebra, q-Schur algebras and categorification. *Canad. J. Math.*, 66 (2014), no. 2, 453-480. http ://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2013-018-1
[10] B. Webster, Knots and higher representation theory I: diagrammatic and geometric categorification of tensor products. arXiv:1001.2020.
[11] D. Želobenko, Compact Lie groups and their representations. *Translations of Mathematical Monographs* 40 (1973), AMS.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUE ET PHYSIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN, CHEMIN DU CYCLOTRON 2, 1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM

E-mail address: pedro.vaz@uclouvain.be